Medial prefrontal cortex compresses concept representations through learning Michael L. Mack¹, {Alison R. Preston², Bradley C. Love³}*

¹University of Toronto, ²The University of Texas at Austin, ³University College London, *Equal contribution

Introduction

Prefrontal cortex (PFC) is thought to focus on goal-relevant information. Specifically, medial PFC has been shown to code for latent structures of experience in cognitive maps^{1,2,3}.

Category learning models formalize this process through feature-based attentional tuning and knowledge clustering^{4,5}.

Physical Similarity

Psychological Similarity



This theoretical convergence suggests mPFC may perform data reduction, compressing task-irrelevant features and emphasizing goal-relevant information structures.

Research Questions

- Does mPFC perform goal-sensitive data reduction during learning?
- Are individual differences in learning ability and attentional tuning predicted by mPFC neural compression?

Methods

fMRI category learning tasks (1.7mm-iso voxels, 2s TR, whole brain) 22 participants learned three classic categorization tasks⁶ 4 fMRI runs per task, 32 trials per run, 128 trials total per task



time

2-6s

Learning Behaviour



Indexing neural dimensionality reduction with principal component analysis (PCA)

Neural PCA searchlight

high



Learning-related neural compression

Medial PFC representational compression during learning



- mPFC representations show task-specific compression (low > medium > high complexity)
- Neural compression emerges over learning
- Similar pattern in left parietal, but subthreshold



2-6s





diagnostic



- Both low and medium complexity problems learned quickly
- Complexity related to learning difficulty
- RTs showed corresponding speedups with learning



complexity * block + learning performance

Left Inferior Parietal

Cluster extent 167 voxels, P = 0.05 threshold of 176 voxels

Neural compression predicts individual differences in learning ability





Conclusions

Acknowledgements

This project is supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant RGPIN-2017-06753, NIMH grants R01-MH100121 and F32-MH100904, NSF CAREER Award 1056019, Leverhulme Trust RPG-2014-075, Wellcome Trust Senior Investigator Award WT106931MA, and NICHD Grant 1P01HD080679. Thank you to Meg Schlichting and Christiane Ahlheim for helpful comments.

References

- 278.
- 91(6), 1402–1412.

- knowledge. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113(46), 13203-13208.



• mPFC representations are shaped by experience through goal-sensitive dimensionality reduction

• mPFC compression consistent with mechanisms of SUSTAIN learning model^{4,5}, providing quantitative account of mPFC's role in concept formation⁷

• Dimensionality reduction through selective attention may underlie many mPFC functions (e.g., cognitive maps, latent causal models, schemas, value coding)

1) Wilson, R. C., Takahashi, Y. K., Schoenbaum, G., & Niv, Y. (2014). Orbitofrontal cortex as a cognitive map of task space. Neuron, 81(2), 267–

2) Schuck, N. W., Cai, M. B., Wilson, R. C., Niv, Y. (2016). Human Orbitofrontal Cortex Represents a Cognitive Map of State Space. Neuron,

3) Schlichting, M. L., Mumford, J. A., & Preston, A. R. (2015). Learning-related representational changes reveal dissociable integration and separation signatures in the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex. Nature Communications, 6, 8151.

4) Love, B.C., Medin, D.L., & Gureckis, T.M. (2004). SUSTAIN: A network model of category learning. Psychological Review, 111, 309-332. 5) Love, B.C., & Gureckis, T.M. (2007). Models in search of a brain. Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience, 7, 90-108. 6) Shepard, R. N., Hovland, C. I., & Jenkins, H. M. (1961). Learning and memorization of classifications. Psychological Monographs, 75.

7) Mack, M. L., Love, B. C., & Preston, A. R. (2016). Dynamic updating of hippocampal object representations reflects new conceptual



mack@psych.utoronto.ca http://macklab.utoronto.ca